

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,553	01/23/2002	In Chul Jeong	0465-0838P-SP	5490	
2292	590 12/01/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			STINSON, FRANKIE L		
	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1746		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>\psi_2</i>
	10/052,553	JEONG ET AL.	1.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirly y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 13 October 2004.		
_	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice u			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 8-22</u> is/are pending ir	n the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-18 is/are with	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 4, 8 and 19-22</u> is/are reject	ted.		
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ov the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	101/4)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t			
	o =xammon Note the attached	Office Action of John 170-18	JZ.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docu</li> </ol>		•	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stag	е
application from the International B			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> </ol>	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 1

Application/Control Number: 10/052,553

Art Unit: 1746

1. It is noted that applicant elected the species of fig. 1 without traverse in the response filed April 8, 2004. Nonetheless, applicant argues the examiner's withdrawal of claims 10-18, and specifically claims 12. Please note that in said election of April 8, 2004, fig. 1 was elected. This embodiment does not include fins on the circulation duct. The subject matter of claim 12 is directed to non-elected fig. 4., however, upon the allowance of a *generic* claim, the claims will be rejoined as is the Office practice.

Page 2

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 20, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either UK 2,215,826 or Dottor et al.

Re claim 1, note that there is disclosed a washing machine comprising: a first tub (1 in UK'826, 3 in Dottor), a second tub (2 in UK'826; 5 in Dottor) disposed in the first tub; at least one circulation duct (8 in UK'826; 20 in Dottor) operatively coupled with the first tub to receive air from the second tub, dehumidify the air and recirculate the dehumidified air back into the second tub to dry laundry in the second tub during a drying operation of the washing machine and a water supplying duct (12 in UK'826, 22 in Dottor; 323) for supplying external water to the inside of an inner wall of the at least

Application/Control Number: 10/052,553

Art Unit: 1746

one circulation duct (see UK'826, page 4, lines 13-16 and Dottor col. 3, lines 25-33) to dehumidify the air. Re claim 3, UK'826, Japan'296, Dottor and Muller disclose the fan and heater. Re claim 4, the fan in UK'286, and Dottor is believed to be a "sirocco type" fan. Re claims 8 and 22, Dottor discloses the grooves in a helical configuration. Re claim 19, Japan'296 discloses the pulsator. Re claim 20 Dottor discloses the drain duct coupled to the first tub. Re claim 21, Dottor discloses the opening for the recirculation and drain duct being spaced as claimed.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied prior art as applied to claim in view of Japan'296.

  Claim 19 defines over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the pulsator.

  Japan'296 discloses the pulsator. It therefore would have bee obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device or either UK'826 or Dottor to be of the pulsator type since they are considered to be the equivalent to one another see (MPEP 2144.06 SUBSTITUTING EQUIVALENTS KNOWN FOR THE SAME PURPOSE.
- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either UK'826, Dottor et al. in view of UK 2,075,559.

Claim 9 defines over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the external air supply supplied by a fan. UK'559 discloses the external supply as supplied by a fan (10) as

Application/Control Number: 10/052,553

Art Unit: 1746

claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of either UK'826 or Dottor, to include an external air supply as taught by UK'559, for the purpose of increasing the efficiency of the condenser.

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed Oct. 13, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard to the remarks on the restriction requirement, please note that this was actually an election of species and made in accordance with MPEP sections 809.02, 809.02(a), 809.02(c). As for the timing of the election see MPEP 811. The 806 sections of the MPEP refer to restriction requirement between independent inventions. A species is not considered to be an independent invention but a variation of one embodiment. As for the best art, please note that the examiner has applied the best references and removed no less than 50 references from consideration.. In regard to the invention as is now claimed, please note the references as applied in paragraph 3 above where the references clearly discloses that water is in contact with the wall of the recirculation duct.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1746

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:30 and some Saturdays from approximately 7:30 am to 1:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

Primary Examiner **GROUP ART UNIT 1746**